Lithofacies control in detrital zircon provenance studies: Insights from the Cretaceous Methow basin, southern Canadian Cordillera
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ABSTRACT

High-frequency sampling for detrital zircon analysis can provide a detailed record of fine-scale basin evolution by revealing the temporal and spatial variability of detrital zircon ages within clastic sedimentary successions. This investigation employed detailed sampling of two sedimentary successions in the Methow/Methow-Tyaughton basin of the southern Canadian Cordillera to characterize the heterogeneity of detrital zircon signatures within single lithofacies and assess the applicability of detrital zircon analysis in distinguishing fine-scale provenance changes not apparent in lithologic analysis of the strata. The Methow/Methow-Tyaughton basin contains two distinct stratigraphic sequences of middle Albian to Santonian clastic sedimentary rocks: submarine-fan deposits of the Harts Pass Formation/Jackass Mountain Group and fluvial deposits of the Winthrop Formation. Although both stratigraphic sequences displayed consistent ranges in detrital zircon ages on a broad scale, detailed sampling within each succession revealed heterogeneity in the detrital zircon age distributions that was systematic and predictable in the turbidite succession but unpredictable in the fluvial succession. These results suggest that a high-density sampling approach permits interpretation of fine-scale changes within a lithologically uniform turbiditic sedimentary succession, but heterogeneity within fluvial systems may be too large and unpredictable to permit accurate fine-scale characterization of the evolution of source regions. The robust composite detrital zircon age signature developed for these two successions permits comparison of the Methow/Methow-Tyaughton basin age signature with known plutonic source-rock ages from major plutonic belts throughout the Cretaceous North American margin. The Methow/Methow-Tyaughton basin detrital zircon age signature matches best with source regions in the southern Canadian Cordillera, requiring that the basin developed in close proximity to the southern Canadian Cordillera and providing evidence against large-scale dextral translation of the Methow terrane.
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INTRODUCTION

Detrital zircon age distributions from clastic sedimentary strata provide critical constraints on basin evolution, tectonic history, and paleogeography (e.g., Ross and Bowring, 1990; Gehrels and Dickinson, 1995; Mahoney et al., 1999; Gehrels, 2000, and references therein; DeGraaff-Surpless et al., 2002). By identifying a spectrum of grain ages that approximates the age distribution of geologic units within a source region, detrital zircon analysis can define sedimentary provenance and may help characterize spatial and temporal variations in sediment supply to a depocenter. Critical to accurate provenance analysis is the establishment of a detrital zircon age signature that accounts for the influence of sedimentologic and depositional processes on the lateral and vertical distribution of the detrital zircons within sedimentary successions. Within a single sedimentary succession, high-frequency sampling should provide a detailed record of basin evolution, but the reliability of this record depends on adequate characterization of the heterogeneity of the detrital zircon signature.

This investigation utilized a detailed and systematic sampling strategy to examine the temporal and spatial variability of the detrital zircon signature from two sedimentary successions deposited in the same depocenter but under very different depositional conditions. The composite Methow/Methow-Tyaughton basin of the southern Canadian Cordillera contains thick successions of clastic strata deposited in marine and terrestrial environments. The Harts Pass Formation/Jackass Mountain Group succession was deposited in a submarine-fan system in a forearc setting adjacent to a dissected volcanic arc during Albian time, and the overlying Albian–Cenomanian Winthrop Formation was deposited within a braided fluvial system also derived from a dissected volcanic arc. Each succession is dominated by thick deposits of similar and apparently homogeneous feldspathic litharenite, and the depositional setting of each unit is well defined by detailed lithofacies analysis (Coates, 1974; Barksdale, 1975; Kleinspehn, 1985; Haugerud et al., 1996; Kiessling, 1998). We used vari-
able vertical and horizontal sampling densities in each succession to (1) evaluate the relationship between lithofacies and detrital zircon signatures by characterizing the heterogeneity of detrital zircon signatures within each succession, (2) assess the applicability of detrital zircon analysis in characterizing fine-scale provenance changes not apparent in lithologic analysis of the strata, and (3) develop a composite detrital zircon age signature for both successions that can then be compared to ages in potential source regions to test tectonic models of terrane translation.

The results of this fine-scale detrital zircon analysis demonstrate that the degree of homogenization of detrital zircon age distributions is directly related to the depositional environment. On a broad scale, both the Harts Pass Formation/Jackass Mountain Group and the Winthrop Formation had consistent ranges in detrital zircon ages. However, on a finer scale, both successions displayed significant heterogeneity in the detrital zircon age distributions; greater heterogeneity was present in the fluvial Winthrop Formation than in the turbiditic Harts Pass Formation/Jackass Mountain Group. Furthermore, variability within the turbidite succession was systematic and predictable; heterogeneity in the fluvial succession was unpredictable and therefore difficult to interpret. These results suggest that a high-resolution sampling approach permits interpretation of systematic temporal changes within a turbiditic sedimentary succession, such as the Harts Pass Formation, but heterogeneity within fluvial systems, such as the Winthrop Formation, is too large and unpredictable to permit accurate fine-scale characterization of the evolution of source regions, even with a large number of samples. These results also highlight the need for detailed lithofacies analysis prior to development of a sampling strategy designed to elucidate basin evolution.

The robust composite detrital zircon age signature developed for these two successions provides critical constraints on the ages of potential source regions for the Cretaceous strata of the Methow terrane, an allochthonous fault-bounded sequence with a controversial tectonic translation history. The Methow/Methow-Tyaughton basin detrital zircon age signature indicates that the basin most likely developed in close proximity to the southern Canadian Cordillera, providing evidence against large-scale translation of the Methow terrane from a southern source region.

SEDIMENTARY SYSTEMS AND SAMPLING STRATEGY

The scale of the basinal succession is an important consideration in provenance analysis. The degree of sediment homogenization, and therefore homogenization of detrital zircon age distributions, is a direct function of the scale of the system under consideration and the transport mechanisms operative within that system. Ingersoll et al. (1993) demonstrated the effects of variable sampling scale on sand composition in petrofacies analysis, and the same concepts are useful for the establishment of an efficient sampling protocol for detrital zircon analysis. The following basin hierarchy is modified from Ingersoll (1990; Ingersoll et al., 1993):

1. First-order sediment systems are associated with local drainages that sample individual lithologic units or groups of units. Sediment in these systems tends to be immature, and the detrital zircon signature directly reflects the heterogeneity of the source area (Dickinson and Gehrels, 2000). Abrupt lateral and vertical variations in detrital zircon composition may record tectonic events in the source region. These systems tend to be allochthonous and rapidly commingled with adjacent first-order systems.

2. Second-order sediment systems are continental and marginal-marine basins associated with large, coalescing river systems and associated submarine-fan systems that effectively sample at the scale of mountain ranges, including magmatic arcs and fold-and-thrust belts (Ingersoll et al., 1993). Sediment tends to be submatue and has undergone substantial modification as a result of weathering and transport. The detrital zircon signature reflects the gradual homogenization of detrital grains, but may be subject to rapid spatial and temporal fluctuations as a function of lithologic heterogeneity and episodic tectonism in the source region.

3. Third-order sediment systems are those associated with large rivers draining significant parts of a continent and include deltaic and shallow-marine sediments along passive continental margins (Ingersoll et al., 1993). Considerable homogenization and compositional stabilization occurs in third-order systems, owing to prolonged chemical and physical disintegration of the principal detrital components and concentration of resistant heavy minerals such as zircon. This homogenization suggests that these systems should be characterized by laterally consistent detrital zircon signatures that vary on the scale of hundreds of kilometers (e.g., Gehrels et al., 1995). Large river systems and associated deltas built along active margins are also considered third order, but admixtures from less mature systems commonly complicate their detrital signature. Examples include the eastern North American continental shelf and the Amazon deltaic system.

Any individual basin may contain more than one order of sedimentary system; for example, the modern Gulf of Mexico contains first-, second-, and third-order sediment systems, depending on the part of the depocenter studied (Galloway and Williams, 1991).

GEOLOGIC SETTING

The Methow and Methow-Tyaughton basins of the southern Canadian Cordillera contain Jurassic and Cretaceous strata that overlie allochthonous Triassic oceanic crust of the Methow terrane (Figs. 1 and 2). The Cretaceous parts of the basin contain more than 6 km of middle Albian to Santonian sedimentary and volcanic rocks that constitute an overall shallowing-upward succession subdivided into two stratigraphic sequences. The lower succession, deposited in the Methow Basin, contains Albian rocks of the Harts Pass Formation and the Jackita Ridge and Little Jack units in Washington State and the upper Jackass Mountain Group in southern British Columbia (Fig. 3; Coates, 1974; Barksdale, 1975). The upper succession, deposited in the Methow-Tyaughton basin, consists of lower Cenomanian to Santonian strata of the Pasayten Group (Fig. 3; Barksdale, 1975; Garver, 1992; Kiessling and Mahoney, 1997). The contact between the Pasayten Group and underlyong middle Albian strata of the lower succession is primarily an angular unconformity, although feldspathic sandstone of the Pasayten Group gradationally overlies compositionally similar rocks of the upper Jackass Mountain Group along the preserved eastern margin of the basin. This investigation focuses on middle to Upper Cretaceous fill of the combined Methow/Methow-Tyaughton system; the overall Jurassic to Cretaceous evolution of the basin is complex and beyond the scope of this study.

Structural Setting

Cretaceous strata overlying the Methow terrane are exposed in an elongate structural block bound on the east by the Pasayten fault system and on the west by the Ross Lake and Fraser–Straight Creek fault systems (Fig. 1). The Methow terrane was imbricated structurally with the Bridge River and Cowlitz
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Figure 1. Regional geologic map of the southern Canadian Cordillera, showing distribution of terranes and major structural features (HF—Hozameen fault; RLF—Ross Lake fault; PF—Pasayten fault; F-SCF—Fraser–Straight Creek fault system). The box labeled Figure 4 shows the location of this study.

Figure 2. Tectonostratigraphic nomenclature within the southern Canadian Cordillera. Queries indicate timing of proposed stratigraphic linkages (Umhoefer, 1988; Garver, 1992; Mahoney and Journeay, 1993; Mahoney, 1994; Schiarizza and Payie, 1997).

Figure 3. Generalized stratigraphy of the Pasayten Group and underlying strata. Adapted from Coates (1974), Haugerud et al. (1996), and Kiessling (1998).
terranes along its western margin during Cenomanian time (Figs. 1 and 4; Journeay and Friedman, 1993). Along the eastern margin of the Methow terrane, the Pasayten fault has a multistage deformational history that includes Late Cretaceous sinistral displacement, Late Cretaceous to Tertiary west-vergent contractional motion, and Tertiary extension (Hurlow, 1993). Seismic reflection data indicate that the Pasayten fault dips eastward, juxtaposing Cretaceous volcano-plutonic rocks of Quesnellia over sedimentary successions of the Methow/Methow-Tyaughton basin (Figs. 1, 4; Varsek et al., 1993).

Paleomagnetic data from the Intermontane
and Insular superrteranes have been interpret-
ed to suggest that the modern Pasayten fault is coincident with the principal locus of (1) as much as 3000 km of dextral translation that occurred between 85 and 50 Ma (e.g., Wynne et al., 1995; Irving et al., 1996), or (2) less than 1500 km of translation (e.g., Butler et al., 1989; Kodama and Ward, 2001). Therefore, rocks on opposite sides of the modern Pasay-
ten fault may or may not have been in geo-
graphic proximity in the Late Cretaceous, and strata of the Methow/Methow-Tyaughton ba-
smay be cut off from their eastern source.

Sedimentary Successions and Basin Order

The Harts Pass Formation and upper Jackass Mountain Group consist of more than 2.5 km of medium- to coarse-grained, well-stratified quartzofeldspathic arenite and minor plutonic conglomerate. Underlying Neocomian to low-
er Albian shallow-marine strata limit the max-
imum depositional age of the Harts Pass For-
mation to early to middle Albian. Graded bed-
ing, scours, and loads at the base of beds, parallel and cross-lamination, pelitic rip-up clasts, amalgamated sandstone intervals, lat-
erally continuous beds, and cyclic bedding repetition indicate sub-wave-base turbidite de-
position (Coates, 1974; Barksdale, 1975; Ten-
nyson and Cole, 1978; Kleinspehn, 1985; Haugerud et al., 1996). Paleocurrent analysis (n = 122) of ripple cross-laminations, groove casts, and other features indicate west-directed transport (mean direction = 296°; Tennyson and Cole, 1978). A westward decrease in bed-
ing thickness, sand/shale ratio, and max-
mum grain size also supports an eastern source. Tennyson and Cole (1978) interpreted these strata as unchannneled mid-fan deposits of a west-facing middle Albian submarine-fan succession. The apparent lateral and vertical compositional and sedimentologic homoge-
neity of the succession through more than 2.5 km of section along more than 60 km of strike length suggests that the Harts Pass Formation and the upper Jackass Mountain Group re-
present deposition in a stable second-order sed-
iment system.

The Pasayten Group consists of more than 2.4 km of medium- to coarse-grained chert lithic to quartzofeldspathic sandstone, poly-
mict conglomerate, and lesser andesitic vol-
canic flows and associated volcaniclastic stra-
ta. Westerly-derived chert lithic detritus of the Virginian Ridge Formation interferes along the basin axis with easterly-derived quartzofeldspathic arenite of the Winthrop Formation (Fig. 3). These units are gradationally to un-
conformably overlain by volcanic and volca-
iclastic rocks of the Ventura Member of the Goat Wall unit (Fig. 3; Barksdale, 1975; Ten-
nyson and Cole, 1978; Kiessling and Maho-
ney, 1997; Kiessling, 1998). This investiga-
tion concentrates on the Winthrop Formation of the Pasayten Group, which is early Ceno-
manian to Coniacian (98–87 Ma) in age, on the basis of detrital zircons, crosscutting dikes, and the age of the overlying Goat Wall unit (Haugerud et al., 1996).

High-angle cross-stratification, lenticular conglomerate, in situ tree stumps, abundant plant debris, subaerial lava flows and breccias, and rare marine bivalves indicate that the Pasayten Group was deposited by braided to me-
andering streams that locally prograded into a marginal-marine environment (Coates, 1974; Barksdale, 1975; Kiessling, 1998). Facies var-
iations, subsidence rates, and the intimate asso-
ciation between sedimentation and contrac-
tional deformation suggest that the Pasayten Group accumulated in a complex hybrid fore-
arc/foreland-basin setting resulting from epi-
sodic tectonism in an east-vergent fold-and-
thrust system to the west, coupled with rapid uplift and erosion of a partly dissected vol-
canic arc assemblage to the east (Haugerud et al., 1996; Kiessling, 1998). The complex stra-
tigraphy and paleocurrent pattern, rapid lateral and vertical compositional changes, and sed-
imetologic heterogeneity indicate that the Pasayten Group formed in a first- to second-
order sediment system (Kiessling and Maho-

METHODOLOGY

Sampling Strategy

Determination of a detrital zircon age sig-
nature that fully characterizes both stratigraphic sequences of the Methow/Methow-Tyaughton basin requires a sampling density sufficiently detailed to permit recognition of temporal and spatial variations in detrital zircon age distri-
butions within continuous and lithologically homogeneous stratigraphic sections.

Coeval stratigraphic sections of the Win-
throp Formation were sampled at Sandy Butte in the southern part of the basin and ~75 km to the north in Manning Park in the northern part of the basin (Fig. 4). Through a detailed analysis of the stratigraphy and sedimentary petrology of the Pasayten Group, Kiessling (1998) demonstrated that the Winthrop For-
mation was a strikingly homogeneous mica-
ceous feldspathic arenite deposited in a braid-
ed fluvial system draining a dissected volcanic arc assemblage. Following the Sandy Butte stratigraphy detailed by Kiessling (1998), we sampled from the base, middle, and upper parts of a 1.5-km-thick section, separating the samples by ~600 m of true section thickness. To assess the heterogeneity of the detrital zircon signature, we collected samples spaced 10 m above and 10 m below the uppermost Win-
throp sample. In the northern part of the basin, we followed the same protocol, collecting four samples from the Winthrop Formation in Manning Park, including one sample from the lower part of the formation and three samples from the middle of the formation spaced ~15 vertical meters apart.

We used a similar procedure to sample the Harts Pass Formation in the southern part of the basin (Fig. 4), sampling from the base, middle, and upper levels of the stratigraphic section with ~1000 m of section between samples. Three samples spaced 15 m apart were collected from the uppermost Harts Pass Formation to assess rapid variations in detrital zircon signature. Sampling of the coeval Jack-
ass Mountain Group in the northern part of the basin was hampered by a lack of biostrati-
graphic control. Our collections were limited to two samples, spaced ~500 m apart, from the upper part of the Jackass Mountain Group as defined by Coates (1974).

Analytical Methods

Samples consisted of 2–6 kg of medium-
grained lithic feldspathic to feldspathic aren-
ite. Zircon was isolated following standard density and magnetic separation techniques (see Appendix). To eliminate bias introduced during handpicking, no visual morphologic or color differentiation was made. The total zir-
cron grain population was subdivided en masse to provide a random subset of the entire pop-
ulation. Grains were mounted in epoxy, pol-
ished to half of mean grain thickness, and im-
aged with transmitted and reflected light and cathodoluminescence to illuminate internal structures. No distinct inherited cores were visible in cathodoluminescence, and many grains showed well-developed oscillatory zoning.

The statistical adequacy assessment of Dod-
son et al. (1988) states that 59 grains must be analyzed to achieve 95% confidence of finding every population that exists at the 5% level in a given sample, according to the equation

$$P = (1 - f)^n$$

where $P$ is the probability of missing a provenance component, $f$ is the propor-
tion of that component in the total population, and $n$ is the number of grains analyzed. All of the samples presented here consist of 60 in-
dividual analyses.

Pb/U ratios were calibrated with reference
Figure 5. Discordance of young zircon is estimated with Tera-Wasserburg concordia diagrams. (A) Uncorrected data for sample KD3 (lower Harts Pass Formation) are plotted on a Tera-Wasserburg concordia, and discordance is estimated by using a mixing line between a common-Pb ratio and concordia. KD3 data fall within 20% of concordia. (B) A closer look at KD3 data reveals a strong tendency off concordia toward the common-Pb ratio. (C) A plot of U concentration against 207Pb/206Pb ratios (a reasonable proxy for discordance where ages are young enough to plot on the near-horizontal part of the Tera-Wasserburg concordia) shows the grains with low U concentrations are the most discordant.

Assessing and Interpreting Discordance

Because of the small amount of radiogenic Pb in Mesozoic zircon, 207Pb/206Pb and 208Pb/206Pb ages determined by the ion microprobe have high uncertainties and cannot be used to evaluate discordance. However, if we assume that common Pb is the most significant factor in producing discordance toward a common-Pb 208Pb/206Pb ratio (0.86 ± 0.06; Cumming and Richards, 1975), then a common-Pb correction provides acceptable estimates of true ages. This is a reasonable assumption for the Mesozoic zircon grains in the Methow/Methow-Tyaughton basin for several reasons: (1) grains show well-developed oscillatory zoning and no visible evidence for inherited cores, suggesting that they are first-cycle magmatic grains (Hanchar and Miller, 1993); (2) the grains plot in clusters on a Tera-Wasserburg diagram, lending significance to the ages because the probability of grains with complex discordance histories falling into clusters is slight (Fig. 5; Gehrels, 2000); (3) data that plot above concordia fit a mixing line toward a 207Pb/206Pb ratio for common Pb (0.86) and do not fit a mixing line toward older ages (Figs. 5A and 5B); and (4) the most discordant data generally have the lowest concentration of U (less than 100 ppm). Low U concentration means little radiogenic Pb in the zircon (Fig. 5C), so a tiny amount of common Pb in the sample has a much greater influence on measured Pb ratios.

The Tera-Wasserburg concordia diagram provides a graphical estimate of discordance in young zircon grains by showing uncorrected 207Pb/206Pb ratios plotted against uncorrected 238U/206Pb ratios (Fig. 5). Discordance of Mesozoic grains was estimated by determining where data fall on a mixing line from the common-Pb value (207Pb/206Pb ratio of 0.86) through the data to concordia. Data presented herein include grains within 5% of concordance as well as more discordant grains with very low U concentrations. Data more than 5% discordant (207Pb/206Pb > 0.1) and with U concentrations greater than 100 ppm have been removed from further consideration. Out of 960 grains analyzed during this study, 31 (3.2%) were deemed unsuitable for consideration.

Statistical Methods

Detrital zircon age data are plotted as histograms with superimposed probability density distributions in order to represent both the age measurement and the associated uncertainty (Figs. 6A–9A). To assess the heterogeneity of the age distributions within each of the four stratigraphic sections, we compared each sample age distribution within a stratigraphic section with every other sample age distribution within the same stratigraphic section by using cumulative probability plots and the Kolmogorov-Smirnov (K-S) two-sample test (Figs. 6B–9B and Table 1; Berry et al., 2001). The K-S test is a nonparametric statistical method (it is independent of any assumptions about the probability distribution of a sample and allows comparison of both age values [peak locations] and distributions [peak shapes]) that returns the probability (P) that two samples were drawn from the same population (e.g., Press et al., 1986; Berry et al., 2001). The higher the P value, the more likely it is that the two age distributions were drawn from the same population. To be 95% confident that two populations are not statistically different, the P value must exceed 0.05. The cumulative probability curves, combined with the P values, provide a useful means of assessing heterogeneity within each stratigraphic succession, comparing the level of heterogeneity between different sections, and observing trends within each section.

RESULTS

Harts Pass Formation and Jackass Mountain Group

The detrital zircon age distributions of the Harts Pass Formation are characterized by a
Figure 6. (A) Age histograms and probability density distributions for the Harts Pass Formation. Detrital zircon age distributions are keyed to a cartoon stratigraphic section to illustrate sample spacing. (B) Cumulative probability plots for all samples in the stratigraphic section.

large Middle Jurassic peak and smaller Early Jurassic and Cretaceous peaks throughout the stratigraphic section (Fig. 6A). Samples from the lower and middle parts of the section, separated by ~1000 m, are strikingly similar ($P = 0.398$; Table 1) and are characterized by a prominent bimodal Jurassic age distribution (ca. 185–180 Ma and 165–160 Ma), a smaller Early Cretaceous peak (ca. 120–115 Ma), and a few Triassic (older than 200 Ma) ages. Three closely spaced samples (~15 m between samples) from the upper part of the section have $P$ values ranging from 0.002 to 0.254 (Table 1), indicating significant similarities. Both the reduction in the Early Cretaceous peak in the uppermost samples and the increasing age of the Jurassic peak up-section indicate a trend toward older detrital zircon grains higher in the section.

Statistical analysis suggests that the Harts Pass Formation is heterogeneous (only 2 out of 10 comparisons with $P > 0.05$; Table 1). However, the consistency in the overall distribution of the Harts Pass Formation age peaks
is evident in the graphical comparisons presented in Figure 6. The cumulative probability curves throughout the section have a similar shape (Fig. 6B), indicating that the major peak shapes throughout the stratigraphic section are similar; the greatest variation occurs in the younger part of the distributions. In addition, the data show a systematic trend toward older ages up-section (Fig. 6B).

The two Jackass Mountain Group detrital zircon age distributions are dominated by younger ages relative to the Harts Pass Formation age distributions and also contain a small number of Carboniferous to Early Permian ages (Fig. 7A). Both samples display prominent Early Cretaceous peaks (ca. 120–110 Ma), and the lower sample contains a significant Late Jurassic peak (ca. 150–140 Ma) that is absent in the upper sample. Statistical comparison of the two samples illustrates that the younger part of the age distribution (younger than 120 Ma) is very similar between samples (Fig. 7B), but significant deviation between samples exists in the older detrital zircon populations as a result of the near absence of Jurassic zircons in the uppermost sample, resulting in a low $P$ value of 0.003.

Further characterization of the variability of the detrital zircon age signatures within the Jackass Mountain Group and statistical comparison of the Jackass Mountain Group and the Harts Pass Formation is hindered by the lack of precise biostratigraphic control. Examination of the data suggests that both Jackass Mountain Group samples and the lower two Harts Pass Formation samples contain the same major peaks (Early Cretaceous and Jurassic) in reverse proportion, suggesting that the Jackass Mountain Group may be correlative with the lower part of the Harts Pass succession.

**Winthrop Formation**

The Winthrop Formation in the southern part of the Methow basin has a lower level of heterogeneity than the Harts Pass Formation (4 out of 10 comparisons with $P > 0.05$; Table 1), but the variability in the Winthrop is not as systematic as in the Harts Pass Formation (Fig. 8). The lowest sample, collected near the base of the section at Sandy Butte, displays peaks at ca. 165 Ma, ca. 150 Ma, and 120–110 Ma and has a minor component of late Paleozoic grains. The lower sample differs significantly from all samples up-section (no comparisons with $P > 0.05$; Table 1), and there is a stronger degree of similarity between the middle sample and the three upper samples than between the middle and the lower samples (Table 1). The middle sample at Sandy Butte, collected ~1000 m above the lowermost sample, is dominated by an Early Jurassic peak (ca. 190–175 Ma) that is absent lower in the section. Conversely, the prominent Early Cretaceous peak (120–110 Ma) evident in the lower sample is strongly diminished in the middle sample. The three samples from the upper part of the section are dominated by bimodal Jurassic peaks (ca. 175–170 and 165–155 Ma) and are quite homogeneous ($P$ values range from 0.08 to 0.75; Table 1),
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Figure 8. (A) Age histograms and probability density distributions for the Winthrop Formation at Sandy Butte. Detrital zircon age distributions are keyed to a cartoon stratigraphic section to illustrate sample spacing. (B) Cumulative probability plots for all samples in the stratigraphic section.

although the middle of the three samples displays an Early Jurassic peak (ca. 190 Ma) not evident in the other upper samples.

The sample suite from the northern Winthrop Formation at Manning Park is the most heterogeneous; five comparisons resulted in $P = 0$, and only one comparison resulted in $P > 0.05$ (Fig. 9 and Table 1). A Late Jurassic peak (ca. 160 Ma) dominates the lowermost sample and is greatly diminished in two of the three samples higher in the section. The upper three closely spaced samples differ significantly from each other and the lower sample: the lowest of the three samples contains major Late Triassic to Early Jurassic peaks, the middle sample displays a shift to younger ages with peaks at ca. 175–165 Ma and ca. 124–120 Ma, and the upper sample contains a range of ages including an Early Cretaceous peak (135–110 Ma), and bimodal Jurassic peaks (ca. 170 and 155 Ma). The upper two of the closely spaced samples in the middle of the formation are similar ($P = 0.179$), but they differ from both stratigraphically adjacent...
Winthrop Formation at Manning Park

Figure 9. (A) Age histograms and probability density distributions for the Winthrop Formation at Manning Park. Detrital zircon age distributions are keyed to a cartoon stratigraphic section to illustrate sample spacing. (B) Cumulative probability plots for all samples in the stratigraphic section. The outlier age 446 Ma has been removed from the cumulative probability plot.

DISCUSSION
Sedimentologic Implications

Our data from Methow/Methow-Tyaughton strata provide general constraints on the provenance of the basin and demonstrate the inherent heterogeneity of detrital zircon populations within even lithologically uniform stratigraphic successions. The heterogeneity evident in the fluvial Winthrop Formation suggests that detrital zircon analysis, although useful for addressing large-scale provenance questions, may be of limited utility in addressing detailed basin-evolution questions. On a broad scale, both the Harts Pass Formation/Jackass Mountain Group and the Winthrop Formation display consistent ranges in detrital zircon ages, strongly dominated by Jurassic and Cretaceous ages with a few older ages. Both are lithologically uniform successions of feldspathic litharenite derived from essentially the same dissected volcanic arc, but deposited in different depositional settings.

Because basin order and source heterogeneity are major controls on the extent of compositional homogeneity within a sedimentary succession (Ingersoll, 1990; Ingersoll et al., 1993), sampling strategies utilized in detrital zircon provenance investigations must be guided by variations in sedimentary facies and detrital-mineral assemblages that record broad changes in source-region character and depositional setting (e.g., Ingersoll, 1983). We anticipated that the Harts Pass Formation/Jack-
Table 1. Comparison of detrital zircon age spectra using the K-S statistic

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stratigraphic unit</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>KD26</th>
<th>KD24</th>
<th>KD22</th>
<th>KD7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Harts Pass</td>
<td>KD24</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>KD22</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>0.254</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.398</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>KD7</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>KD3</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sample</td>
<td>KD42</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jackass Mountain</td>
<td>KD41</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sample</td>
<td>KD45.3</td>
<td>KD45.2</td>
<td>KD46.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winthrop (Manning Park)</td>
<td>KD45.2</td>
<td>0.179</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>KD45.1</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>KD43</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sample</td>
<td>KD17</td>
<td>KD13</td>
<td>KD11</td>
<td>KD10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winthrop (Sandy Butte)</td>
<td>KD13</td>
<td>0.080</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>KD11</td>
<td>0.750</td>
<td>0.137</td>
<td>0.849</td>
<td>0.042</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>KD10</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>KD9</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Numbers refer to the probability (P) that the two samples are derived from the same zircon population. The higher the P value, the more likely it is that the two age distributions were drawn from the same population.

The Winthrop Formation displays a more heterogeneous detrital zircon age signature, with significant differences within and between sections. The nonsystematic variations and low P values in the Winthrop detrital zircon age signature, especially at the Manning Park locality, suggest that these strata accumulated in a depositional setting characterized by abrupt changes in detrital input, perhaps resulting from a combination of active tectonism, heterogeneous source region, and limited mixing of sediment derived from different areas within the source region. Streams and rivers transporting detritus to the basin likely were influenced by magmatic and tectonic events in the source region, suggesting that caution should be used when interpreting the detrital zircon signature in the Winthrop Formation.

These results suggest that high-resolution detrital zircon analysis does permit fine-scale interpretation within sedimentologically homogeneous successions, such as turbidite sequences within the Harts Pass Formation, but that heterogeneity within fluvial systems like the Winthrop Formation is too unpredictable to permit accurate fine-scale characterization of the evolution of source regions with a reasonable number of samples. Furthermore, the presence of significant heterogeneity in detrital zircon age distributions from a single lithologically uniform sedimentary succession was derived from one source region suggests that caution should be used when conducting a statistical comparison of detrital zircon distributions from different stratigraphic successions (e.g., Berry et al., 2001). The fact that two stratigraphic successions display statistically different detrital zircon populations (i.e., K-S probability values < 0.05) does not require separate and distinct source regions, but may reflect tectonic and geomorphic evolution of a single source region. Conversely, given the level of heterogeneity observed in samples known to be from the same source area, statistically similar populations (i.e., high K-S probability values) would provide extremely compelling evidence that two distributions were derived from a common source.

Establishing a Detrital Zircon Signature

A combination of several age groups produces a detrital zircon pattern that can be more confidently linked to a specific source region than any single age group (Gehrels, 2000). Accordingly, we combined all grain populations from each sedimentary succession to produce composite detrital zircon signatures that characterize Methow/Methow-Tyaughton basin strata during its deposition between ca. 100 and 87 Ma (Fig. 10). Although both the basin and the source region may contain zircon ages not included in the age ranges defined in the detrital zircon signature, any potential source region for the Methow/Methow-Tyaughton basin must contain the ages that are represented in the basin’s detrital zircon signature. Thus, the detrital zircon signature for the Methow/Methow-Tyaughton basin serves as a guide for comparing detrital zircon ages present in the Methow/Methow-Tyaughton strata to potential source regions.

Paleogeographic Implications

The Methow/Methow-Tyaughton basin is a fault-bounded sedimentary basin without definitive linkage to its source region. Conversely, paleomagnetic data suggest that the basin (and the associated Insular superterrane) may have undergone large-scale northward latitudinal translation (e.g., Beck et al., 1981; Wynne et al., 1995; Irving et al., 1996; Enkin et al., 2003), potentially originating as far south as central Mexico (~25°N). Conversely, structural data, basin reconstructions, timing constraints, and alternate interpretations of paleomagnetic data indicate that the basin developed at or near its current latitude and perhaps was trapped east of the Insular superterrane during sinistral (i.e., southward) translation in Cretaceous time (e.g., Butler et al., 1989; Miller et al., 1993; Umhoefer and Miller, 1996; Kodama and Ward, 2001). Analysis of the detrital zircon populations within Methow/Methow-Tyaughton strata may link the basin to its source area, providing constraints on the amount of basin translation since strata deposition.
Figure 10. The cumulative detrital zircon signatures of both sedimentary sequences in the Methow/Methow-Tyaughton basin are compared with a compilation chart of the ages of major magmatic pulses in plutonic belts of the North American Cordillera. Map shows the modern geographic distribution of each of the potential source regions given in the chart. References provided in text.
Constraints on Potential Source Regions

The most likely sources of detrital zircon grains in the Methow-Methow-Tyaughton strata are the plutonic igneous rocks within the source region, given the morphology, internal zonating characteristics, and abundance of the detrital zircon grains. The grains are large (generally 100–300 μm), show no visual evidence of older cores, and often show well-developed oscillatory zonating characteristic of magmatic growth (Hanchan and Miller, 1993). Moreover, the majority of detrital zircon is derived from felsic to intermediate plutonic rocks; smaller contributions come from crystalline metamorphic assemblages and recycled clastic sedimentary successions (Gehrels, 2000). Therefore, we restricted our provenance search to regions containing plutonic rocks with ages that match the detrital zircon pattern identified in the sedimentary succession and that likely were exposed during deposition of the Harts Pass Formation/Jackass Mountain Group and the Winthrop Formation in middle to Late Cretaceous time. The probability of exposure was assessed by a variety of means, such as the existence of Late Cretaceous unconformities (e.g., southern and northern Canadian Cordillera, Idaho, western central Mexico), age and provenance relationships with adjacentbasal strata (e.g., Sierra Nevada and Baja California), and uplift ages (e.g., northern Cordillera, Baja California).

Sedimentologic, structural, and geochemical considerations allow further limits to be placed on the search for potential source terranes. Sedimentary petrology indicates that the Jackass Mountain Group and Winthrop Formation constitute a volcano-plutonic unroofing succession (Coates, 1974; Kleinspehn, 1985). Abundant west-directed paleocurrent indicators demonstrate that the Harts Pass Formation/Jackass Mountain Group and Winthrop Formation were derived from an eastern highland (Cole, 1973; Coates, 1974; Tennyson and Cole, 1978; Kleinspehn, 1985; Kieffling, 1998). Paleomagnetic data limit vertical axis rotations to less than 90° within Pasayten Group strata, consistent with an eastern source for these strata (Enkin et al., 2003). Neodymium isotope data from the Jackass Mountain Group and shale and sandstone intervals within units in the northern Methow terrane correlate with the Harts Pass Formation/Jackass Mountain Group indicate a source region dominated by essentially juvenile crust with a minor component of evolved materials, such as a fringing continental volcanic arc succession (Mahoney, 1994). Combined with stratigraphic information and the detrital zircon signatures, these disparate data indicate that Methow strata were deposited in a forearc position west of a dissected juvenile volcanic arc assemblage characterized by a wide variety of primarily Early Jurassic to Early Cretaceous plutons and east of an emergent fold-and-thrust system that incorporated ocean-floor detachments. We concentrate this analysis on potential source regions along the length of the western North American Cordilleran margin (Fig. 10), although it is possible that the Methow-Methow-Tyaughton basin developed adjacent to an unknown crustal entity unassociated with North America.

Potential Source Regions

Canadian Cordillera. The Canadian Cordillera is a mosaic of allochthonous tectonostratigraphic terranes juxtaposed along regional fault systems and intruded by Jurassic to Tertiary plutons (e.g., Coney et al., 1980). These terranes can be broadly grouped into two superterranes separated by a major zone of middle Cretaceous to Tertiary contractional deformation (Monger et al., 1982; Rubin et al., 1990; Monger, 1991; Monger and Journey, 1994). The Interiormontane superterranes (Stikinia, Cache Creek, Yukon-Tanana, and Quesnellia terranes; Fig. 1) accreted to the western margin of North America by Middle Jurassic time (Monger et al., 1982; Monger, 1991; Monger and Journey, 1994); the Insular superterranes (Wrangelia and Alexander terranes; Fig. 1) accreted to the western margin of North America by at least the middle Cretaceous (Monger et al., 1982; Rubin et al., 1990). Because stratigraphic, structural, and paleomagnetic constraints preclude the Insular superterranes as a potential source, only the Interiormontane superterranes is discussed here.

Northern Canadian Cordillera (northern British Columbia, Yukon, Alaska). The Interiormontane superterranes in the northern Canadian Cordillera includes Triassic to Jurassic volcanic arc rocks of the Stikinia terrane and upper Proterozoic to Paleozoic continental-margin metasedimentary rocks of the Yukon-Tanana terrane (Monger et al., 1982; Mortensen, 1992). These rocks are intruded by extensive Late Triassic to Early Jurassic (214–180 Ma) plutonic rocks with a minor component of Middle to Late Jurassic (160–140 Ma) plutons; these intrusions were followed by widespread plutonism in middle Cretaceous time (110–90 Ma; Armstrong, 1988).

Southern Canadian Cordillera (southern British Columbia and northern Washington). The southern Canadian Cordillera includes Mississippian to Jurassic oceanic mélange of the Cache Creek terrane, Triassic to Jurassic volcanic arc assemblages of Quesnellia, late Paleozoic oceanic rocks of the Slide Mountain terrane, and pericratonic rocks of the Kootenay terrane (Monger et al., 1982). These terranes are intruded by an extensive suite of Late Triassic to early Tertiary plutonic rocks; major pulses of magmatism occurred in Late Triassic–Early Jurassic (210–187 Ma), Middle to Late Jurassic (180–148 Ma), and middle to Late Cretaceous (130–85 Ma) time (Armstrong et al., 1988; Greig et al., 1992; Woodsworth et al., 1992; Ghosh et al., 1995).

Idaho batholith. The Idaho batholith is primarily a Late Cretaceous (90–75 Ma) intrusive complex with older phases (up to 120 Ma) along its western and northwestern margins (Lewis et al., 1987). The batholith was emplaced into an imbricated succession of Precambrian to Paleozoic sedimentary rocks of cratonic affinity (Armstrong et al., 1977; Fleck, 1990). The Salmon River shear zone forms the western margin of the Idaho batholith and separates rocks of the continental margin from accreted terranes of the Blue Mountains province (Avé Lallemant, 1995). The Blue Mountains province consists of four major allochthonous terranes containing magmatic rocks of Permian to Triassic age. At least four episodes of metamorphism at 130, 118, 109, and 101 Ma record accretion of these terranes along the Salmon River shear zone; minor associated plutonism was most extensive at ca. 115 Ma (Snee et al., 1995).

Klamath Mountains. The Klamath Mountains consist of a series of terranes representing a Permian–Triassic subduction complex that developed west of a Permian–Triassic arc system (e.g., Irwin, 1981; Saleeby et al., 1992). These terranes were structurally imbricated by a major east-dipping thrust system and overprinted by arc-related magmatism (Burchfiel et al., 1992; Saleeby et al., 1992). Volcanism and plutonism in the Klamath Mountains occurred in distinct periods during the Early Jurassic (ca. 200 Ma), early Middle Jurassic (177–167 Ma), Late Jurassic (ca. 155 Ma), and latest Jurassic to Early Cretaceous (150–135 Ma; Hacker et al., 1995; Irwin and Wooden, 1999, and references therein).

Sierra Nevada. The Sierra Nevada magmatic system is isotopically and structurally linked to the North American craton (Kistler and Peterman, 1973; Saleeby et al., 1987, 1989; Linn et al., 1992). The Sierran batholith belt consists of autochthonous plutons that intruded the North American margin in Jurassic and Cretaceous time (e.g., Evernden and Kistler, 1970; Stern et al., 1981; Bateman, 1983; Saleeby et al., 1989). The batholith intrudes Paleozoic miogeoclinal strata on its...
eastern margin and a complex assemblage of Paleozoic arc-related terranes and subduction complexes on its northwestern margin. Plutons in the northwestern part of the bainolithic belt range in age from ca. 170 to 130 Ma, and those in the southern and eastern parts of the system range in age from 125 to 75 Ma (Irwin and Wooden, 2001, and references therein).

**Mojave-Sonora region.** The Mojave-Sonora region lies at the junction of several Proterozoic crustal provinces that are overlain by a relatively thin veneer of Cambrian to Triassic miogeoclinal strata (e.g., Wooden and Miller, 1990). Widespread plutonism, metamorphism, and thin-to-thick-skinned thrust faulting disrupted these cratonal rocks in early Middle Jurassic time, and plutonic ages of ca. 175–160 Ma are common (Tosdal et al., 1989; Miller et al., 1990; Howard et al., 1995). Middle Jurassic magmatism culminated with emplacement of the Independence dike swarm at ca. 148 Ma (Tosdal et al., 1989). Widespread crustal extension, denudation of the arc succession, and deposition of coarse clastic strata in isolated rift and wrench-fault basins began in Late Jurassic time, and magmatic rocks of Early and early Late Cretaceous are rare. In latest Cretaceous to Eocene time, the Sonora-Mojave region was the site of widespread tectonism and metamorphism; magmatism began at ca. 92 Ma (Barth et al., 2001).

**Peninsular Ranges.** The Peninsular Ranges batholith is divided longitudinally into distinct western and eastern zones on the basis of age, petrology, style, and depth of emplacement, prebainolithic wall rock, and geophysical parameters (Gastil, 1975; Gromet and Silver, 1987; Silver and Chappell, 1988; Todd et al., 1988). Western zone intrusions are older (ca. 140 to 105 Ma), range from gabbro to monzonite in composition, and have relatively primitive island-arc geochemical affinities (Silver and Chappell, 1988). The eastern zone of the Peninsular Ranges batholith is dominated by large volumes of relatively homogeneous tonalite and low-K granodiorite ranging from 105 to 80 Ma (Gromet and Silver, 1987; Silver and Chappell, 1988; Walawender et al., 1990).

**West-central Mexico.** The Sierra Madre Occidental, one of the largest Tertiary volcanic provinces in the world, overlies Precambrian crystalline basement and miogeoclinal rocks at its north end and accreted rocks of the Guerrero composite terrane in the south (de Cserna, 1989). The Upper Jurassic to Lower Cretaceous (Tithonian to Albanian) island-arc volcanic rocks and associated mélangé (metamorphosed sili- ciclastic turbidites, mid-oceanic-ridge basalts, limestone, shale) that make up the Guerrero composite terrane are intruded by Middle Jurassic plutons (ca. 162–157 Ma; Iriondo, 2001). During the Cretaceous, nuclear Mexico was covered by a carbonate platform, and the Guerrero composite terrane was characterized by arc volcanism (de Cserna, 1989). U-Pb geochronologic data for plutonic rocks are sparse in west-central Mexico, and the vast majority of Rb-Sr and K-Ar dates are on Late Cretaceous to early Tertiary plutonic rocks associated with the Laramide orogenic event (Iriondo, 2001).

**Best-Match Comparison**

Figure 10 provides a comparison of the composite detrital zircon age signature of Methow/Methow-Tyaughton strata with the potential Cordilleran source regions just described to assess the likelihood of any region being the primary source of detritus into the sedimentary basin during middle to Late Cretaceous time. Potential sources of error in this comparison include the difficulty in determining what rocks were exposed in middle to Late Cretaceous time and the uncertainty associated with any individual age analysis within the proposed source area. This comparison is presented as a means of finding the best match for the Methow/Methow-Tyaughton detrital zircon age pattern; this pattern-matching analysis is not intended to be a rigorous assessment of the exact age distribution in any given source region. Detailed analysis of the Mesozoic magmatic evolution of each region is beyond the scope of this investigation.

Cretaceous strata of the Methow/Methow-Tyaughton basin are characterized by Mesozoic detrital zircon ages ranging from Late Triassic to middle Cretaceous (ca. 220–100 Ma); the age distribution shows a major Middle to Late Jurassic peak (ca. 180–150 Ma) and a smaller Cretaceous peak (ca. 120–110 Ma; Fig. 10). The southern Canadian Cordil- lera provides the strongest probable match to this age spectrum, as that area contains abundant Early and Middle Jurassic and Early Cretaceous rocks and smaller volumes of every other major detrital-grain population found in rocks of the Methow/Methow-Tyaughton ba- sin. In fact, the absence of abundant 150–180 Ma plutons in the Northern Canadian Cordil- lera, Idaho batholith, Sierra Nevada, Penin- sular Ranges, and west-central Mexico and the absence of 120–110 Ma plutons in the Klam- ath Mountains and the Mojave-Sonora region leave the Southern Canadian Cordillera as the only source region identified along the Cordilleran margin that contains ages which make up the two major age peaks in the detrital zircon signature of the Methow/Methow-Tyaughton strata (Fig. 10). In addition, Late Triassic and Early Jurassic ages, which are common in the Canadian Cordillera, are particularly sparse in other regions.

The Methow detrital zircon results strongly support a linkage between the Methow terrane and source regions to the east in the southern Canadian Cordillera. This linkage has been suggested by earlier workers (e.g., Dickinson, 1976; O’Brien et al., 1992) and is supported by the existence of Archean detrital zircons in both the overlying Methow/Methow-Tyaughton strata and in the associated Nanaimo basin (Mahoney et al., 1999). These results are problematic for large-scale displacement models that require ~2800 km northward translation of the Methow terrane between ca. 85 and 50 Ma, as there is no known source region to the south that could have provided the necessary detrital zircon grains to the Methow/Methow-Tyaughton basin during middle to Late Cretaceous time.

**CONCLUSIONS**

The results of the high-resolution sampling utilized in this study of the Methow/Methow- Tyaughton basin provide a useful basis for establishing detrital zircon sampling protocols for provenance analysis of similar basins. Following the sampling scale hierarchy established by Ingersoll et al. (1993) for petrofacies models, the higher the basin order, the more homogeneous and well-mixed the sediment and therefore the lower the sampling density required to characterize detrital zircon age distributions. Although the overall detrital zircon age distributions for both the second-order Harts Pass/Jackass Mountain Group and the first- to second-order Winthrop Formation can be broadly characterized with relatively few samples, both successions display significant heterogeneity.

Even within the same scale of system, depositional environment strongly influences the nature and extent of heterogeneity in the detrital zircon age distributions. Although both successions display heterogeneity, the variability in the deep-water turbidite deposits of the Harts Pass Formation is systematic and predictable; in contrast, the fluvial and marginal-marine deposits of the Winthrop Formation are heterogeneous detrital zircon age distributions that vary unpredictably from sample to sample. These results suggest that high-resolution detrital zircon analysis does permit fine-scale interpretation within a turbiditic sedimentary succession, such as the Harts Pass Formation, but heterogeneity within flu- vial systems like the Winthrop Formation is
too large and unpredictable to permit accurate fine-scale characterization of the evolution of source regions with a reasonable number of samples. The combined detrital zircon age signatures of the Methow/Methow-Tyaughton basin include every age population present in both the Harts Pass Formation/Jackass Mountain Group and the Winthrop Formation. Compared with potential source regions throughout the North American Cordillera, the basin’s detrital zircon signature matches best with source regions in the southern Canadian Cordillera. This provenance link provides evidence against large-scale dextral translation of Jurassic–Cretaceous strata away from a southern source region and suggests that the Methow/Methow-Tyaughton basin developed in close proximity to the southern Canadian Cordillera.

**APPENDIX. LABORATORY TECHNIQUES**

Zircon separation procedures were as follows: rock sample was crushed and powdered by using jaw crusher and disk grinder; powdered sample was run through Gemeni table to remove the light fraction; remaining sample soaked in 10% acetic acid until no reaction took place; sample was washed and then soaked in 10% hydrogen peroxide until no reaction took place; sample was washed, dried, and sieved through 48 mesh; sieved sample was run through vertical Franz to remove iron fillings and most mafic minerals; nonmagnetic fraction placed in lithium metatungstate (LMT) with density of 2.96 g/cm³ to remove lighter fraction; remaining heavy fraction run through slope Franz to remove remaining mafic minerals. The settings for the slope Franz were 10° at 0.5 A, 10° at 1.0 A, and 10° at 1.8 A following Sircombe and Stern (2002). We analyzed several of the zircon grains recovered from the final magnetic fraction with a JEOL JMS-7000 microprobe to test for potential separation bias introduced by the slightly magnetic zircons were consistent with the following Sircombe and Gerald Ross for their thoughtful views of the manuscript. This research was funded by a Geological Society of America student research grant, Stanford University Mcgee Grant, Stanford University Shell Fund grants, an American Association of Petroleum Geologists Grant-in-Aid, and National Science Foundation Grant EAR-0001036.
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